2012 Annual Financial Management Report Birdville Independent School District Public Hearing, October 25, 2012 at 7:00 PM



YEAR 2010-2011 SELECT AN OPTION



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2010-2011 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: BIRDVILLE ISD(220902)	Publication Level 1: 6/28/2012 12:33:14 PM
Status: Passed	Publication Level 2: 9/20/2012 3:18:39 PM
Rating: Superior Achievement	Last Updated: 9/20/2012 3:18:39 PM
District Score: 70	Passing Score: 52

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	Was The Total Fund Balance Less Nonspendable and Restricted Fund Balance Greater Than Zero In The General Fund?	6/15/2012 4:30:27 PM	Yes
2	Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net of Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds) In the Governmental Activities Column in the Statement of Net Assets Greater than Zero? (If the District's 5 Year % Change in Students was 10% more)	6/15/2012 4:30:27 PM	Yes
3	Were There No Disclosures In The Annual Financial Report And/Or Other Sources Of Information Concerning Default On Bonded Indebtedness Obligations?	6/15/2012 4:30:27 PM	Yes
4	Was The Annual Financial Report Filed Within One Month After November 27th or January 28th Deadline Depending Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (June 30th or August 31st)?	6/15/2012 4:30:28 PM	Yes
5	Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annual Financial Report?	6/15/2012 4:30:28 PM	Yes
6	Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls?	6/15/2012 4:30:28 PM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
7	Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?	6/15/2012 4:30:29 PM	5
8	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?	6/15/2012 4:30:29 PM	5
9	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If	6/15/2012 4:30:29 PM	5

	Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)		
10	Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?	6/15/2012 4:30:30 PM	5
11	Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)	6/15/2012 4:30:30 PM	5
12	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	6/15/2012 4:30:30 PM	5
13	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)	6/15/2012 4:30:31 PM	5
14	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)	6/15/2012 4:30:31 PM	5
15	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?	6/15/2012 4:30:31 PM	5
16	Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	6/15/2012 4:30:32 PM	5
17	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	6/15/2012 4:30:32 PM	5
18	Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund, Then District Receives 5 Points)	6/15/2012 4:30:33 PM	5
19	Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0?	6/15/2012 4:30:33 PM	5
20	Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) Meet or Exceed the 3-Month Treasury Bill Rate?	6/15/2012 4:30:33 PM	5
			70 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			70 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A. Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? **OR** Did The District Answer 'No' To Both 5 and 6? If So, The District's Rating Is **Substandard Achievement**.

B. Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 7-20)

Superior Achievement	64-70
Above Standard Achievement	58-63
Standard Achievement	52-57
Substandard Achievement	<52

INDICATOR 17 & 18 RATIOS

Indicator 17	Ranges Ratios		Indicator 18	Ranges Ratios	
District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High	District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High
< 500	7	22	< 500	5	14
500-999	10	22	500-999	5.8	14
1000-4999	11.5	22	1000-4999	6.3	14
5000-9999	13	22	5000-9999	6.8	14
=> 10000	13.5	22	=> 10000	7.0	14

OPTIONS

Suspension Reason.

Audit Home Page: School Financial Audits | Send comments or suggestions to schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

2012 Annual Financial Management Report - Additional Required Disclosures

Birdville Independent School District

Report Date: October 17, 2012

This report is prepared in accordance with board policy CFD (Legal) - Accounting Financial Reports and Statements, and Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109, Budgeting, Accounting, and Auditing Subchapter AA, Commissioner's Rules Concerning Financial Accountability Rating System, Section 109.1005.

Superintendent's Current Employment Contract

A copy of the superintendent's current employment contract at the time of the School FIRST hearing is to be provided. In lieu of publication in the annual School FIRST financial management report, the school district may chose to publish the superintendent's employment contract on the school district's Internet site.

If published on the Internet, the contract is to remain accessible for twelve months.

The current superintendent's contract is published on the district website at: http://schools.birdvilleschools.net/Page/16971 $\hfill\Box$

Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011

<u>Description</u>	•	en Waddell rintendent	Jay Thompson Interim Super.	Joe Tolbert Board Place 1	Dolores Webb Board Place 2	Brad Greene Board Place 3
Meals	\$	1,073	\$ 403	\$ 392	\$ 320	\$ 392
Lodging	\$	1,895	\$ 1,660	\$ 1,130	\$ 832	\$ 1,151
Transportation Motor Fuel	\$	3,841	510.5	\$ 2,413	\$ 40	\$ 798
Other	\$	519	\$ 465	\$ 50	\$ 259	\$ -
Total	\$	7,327	\$ 3,039	\$ 3,985	\$ 1,451	\$ 2,342

	David	Pokluda	Jack McCarty	Ri	chard Davis	Ralph Kunkel	Cary Hancock
Description	Board	Place 4	Board Place 4	Во	ard Place 5	Board Place 6	Board Place 7
Meals	\$	108	\$ -	\$	391	\$ 500	\$ 392
Lodging	\$	320	\$ -	\$	1,040	\$ 1,302	\$ 943
Transportation	\$	266	\$ -	\$	372	\$ 958	\$ 864
Motor Fuel							
Other	\$	88	\$ 75	\$	79	\$ 45	\$
Total	\$	782	\$ 75	\$	1,881	\$ 2,805	\$ 2,199

All "reimbursements" expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay,

credit card, cash, and purchase order are to be reported. Items to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed out of town, and in-district meals at area restaurants (outside of board meetings, excludes catered board meeting meals).

Lodging - Hotel charges.

Transportation - Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental, taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls). Motor fuel – Gasoline.

Other: - Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements to the superintendent and board member not defined above.

Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and Other Personal Services

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011

Superintendent	Name of Entity	<u>Amoun</u>	t Received
Stephen Waddell	None	\$	-
Jay Thompson	None	\$	
Total			\$0.00

Compensation does not include business revenues generated from a family business (farming, ranching, etc.) that has no relation to school district business.

Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) (gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more in the aggregate in the fiscal year)

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011

	Stephen Waddell Superintendent	Jay Thompson Interim Super.		Joe Tolbert Board Place 1	Dolores Webb Board Place 2	Brad Greene Board Place 3
Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	David Pokluda	Jack McCarty		Richard Davis	Ralph Kunkel	Cary Hancock
	Board Place 4	Board Place 4		Board Place 5	Board Place 6	Board Place 7
Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011

	Joe Tolbert	Dolores Webb	Brad Greene	David Pokluda
	Board Place 1	Board Place 2	Board Place 3	Board Place 4
Amounts	\$ -	\$	- \$ -	\$ -
	Jack McCarty	Richard Davis*	Ralph Kunkel	Cary Hancock
	Board Place 4	Board Place 5	Board Place 6	Board Place 7
Amounts	\$ -	\$	8,319 \$ -	\$ -

^{*} Business transaction was between the board member's company and the District.

Note - The summary amounts reported under this disclosure do NOT duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.

Summary Schedule of Data Submitted under the Financial Solvency Provisions

5) How many business managers has your school district had in the last five years?

General Fund - First-Quarter Expenditures By Object Code

2011-2012 first-quarter (first three months of fiscal year 2011-2012) GENERAL FUND expenditures:

Payroll- Payroll				
Contract Costs- Outside Se	rvices	object codes 6110-6149 object code series 6200		\$18,567,891.57 \$2,439,710.02
Supplies and Materials- Supplies ar		object code series 0200		Ψ2,400,710.02
••		object code series 6300		\$1,031,279.24
Other Operating- Operating I	tems			
		object code series 6400		\$549,100.26
Debt Service- Debt Service		object code series 6500		\$114,002.25
Capital Outlay- Land, Build	ings, and Equipment	object code series 6600		\$414,801.94
dditional Financial Solvency Question	<u>s</u>			
Districts with a July 1- June 30 fiscal ye	ar:			
) Within the last two years, did the sch	nool district:			
a) draw funds from a short-term fina months of July	ancing note (term less than y and October, inclusive, an	•		X
b) for the prior fiscal year, have a total				
expenditures for Ge	eneral Fund function codes	11-61?		X
2) Has the school district declared finar	ncial exigency within the pas	st 2 years?	X	
Provide comments or explanations for the particular of the pa	ies between actual budget fi	igures and projected revenues		
alances, or any significant discrepanci nay be helpful in evaluating the school	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency.	igures and projected revenues		res, or any other inform
alances, or any significant discrepanci nay be helpful in evaluating the school	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency.	igures and projected revenues		
alances, or any significant discrepanci nay be helpful in evaluating the school	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency.	igures and projected revenues		res, or any other inform
alances, or any significant discrepanci ay be helpful in evaluating the school lean Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency.	igures and projected revenues Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio		res, or any other inform School District Size
alances, or any significant discrepanci nay be helpful in evaluating the school lean Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 8.30	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency.	igures and projected revenues Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05		School District Size Under 100
alances, or any significant discrepanci lay be helpful in evaluating the school lean Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 8.30 9.54	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency.	igures and projected revenues Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05 8.11		School District Size Under 100 100 to 249
alances, or any significant discrepanci nay be helpful in evaluating the school lean Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 8.30 9.54 10.80	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency. <u>85% of Mean E</u>	Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05 8.11 9.18		School District Size Under 100 100 to 249 250 to 499
alances, or any significant discrepanci nay be helpful in evaluating the school lean Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 8.30 9.54 10.80 11.54	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency. 85% of Mean E	Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05 8.11 9.18 9.81		School District Size Under 100 100 to 249 250 to 499 500 to 999
alances, or any significant discrepancinal discrepancinal be helpful in evaluating the school dean Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 8.30 9.54 10.80 11.54 12.65 13.65 14.43	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency. 85% of Mean f	Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05 8.11 9.18 9.81		School District Size Under 100 100 to 249 250 to 499 500 to 999 1,000 to 1,599
alances, or any significant discrepancinal discrepancinal be helpful in evaluating the school dean Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 8.30 9.54 10.80 11.54 12.65 13.65	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency. 85% of Mean E	Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05 8.11 9.18 9.81 10.75 11.60		School District Size Under 100 100 to 249 250 to 499 500 to 999 1,000 to 1,599 1,600 to 2,999
alances, or any significant discrepancinal discrepancinal be helpful in evaluating the school dean Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 8.30 9.54 10.80 11.54 12.65 13.65 14.43	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency. 85% of Mean I	Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05 8.11 9.18 9.81 10.75 11.60		School District Size Under 100 100 to 249 250 to 499 500 to 999 1,000 to 1,599 1,600 to 2,999 3,000 to 4,999
alances, or any significant discrepanci nay be helpful in evaluating the school lean Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 8.30 9.54 10.80 11.54 12.65 13.65 14.43 14.97	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency. 85% of Mean I	Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05 8.11 9.18 9.81 10.75 11.60 12.26 12.73		School District Size Under 100 100 to 249 250 to 499 500 to 999 1,000 to 1,599 1,600 to 2,999 3,000 to 4,999 5,000 to 9,999
alances, or any significant discrepancinal parameter and the school services of the school	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency. <u>85% of Mean I</u>	Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05 8.11 9.18 9.81 10.75 11.60 12.26 12.73		School District Size Under 100 100 to 249 250 to 499 500 to 999 1,000 to 1,599 1,600 to 2,999 3,000 to 4,999 5,000 to 9,999 10,000 to 24,999
valances, or any significant discrepancinal parameter and the school series of the school ser	ies between actual budget fi district's financial solvency. 85% of Mean E snapshot was 15.76, which	Enroll-to-Teacher Ratio 7.05 8.11 9.18 9.81 10.75 11.60 12.26 12.73 12.86 12.98 13.12 was slightly above the norm for	and expenditu	School District Size Under 100 100 to 249 250 to 499 500 to 999 1,000 to 1,599 1,600 to 2,999 3,000 to 4,999 5,000 to 9,999 10,000 to 24,999 25,000 to 49,999 50,000 and Over

3